

Report

Introduction

The IN2IT 3rd Consortium Meeting took place on 5th-7th September 2017 in the Academic College of Tel Aviv Yaffo, Israel.

All IN2IT partners were invited to the 3rd Consrtium Meeting:

- to review the project progress and be informed on project-related updates;
- to discuss best and preferred practices to be applied in the following stages of IN2IT project;
- to discuss issues of dissemination, sustainability and exploitation of IN2IT outputs and outcomes;
- to present and discuss management issues related to administrative and financial aspects of IN2IT.


At the workshop, there were 35 participants but not all of them attended all 3 days.

The University of Montpellier has collected 18 “Before meeting surveys” and 21 “After meeting surveys”.

I. Before – After meeting surveys comparison


Most of questions in the Before and After meeting surveys were similar in order to be able to evaluate the evolution due to the meeting execution.

This report will try to identify the reasons and to suggest what could be improved for the next meetings.



IN2IT 3RD CONSORTIUM MEETING IN ISRAEL EVALUATION– SEPTEMBER 2017

The majority of attendees who have completed the questionnaires before and after the meeting are satisfied regarding the meeting preparation and execution (more than 70%). It appears that after the meeting, the percentage of people dissatisfied is bigger than before the workshop (28,6% compared to 11,1%).




The information given to the attendees immediately before and during the meeting was considered very helpful for the majority of participants who have completed the questionnaires.


Comment after the meeting:

- “The only exception was the discussion on technology, most interesting and useful.”

IN2IT 3RD CONSORTIUM MEETING IN ISRAEL EVALUATION– SEPTEMBER 2017




The quality of the 3rd Consortium Meeting organization/realization was considered excellent for the majority of participants to the meeting.




The agenda of the 3rd Consortium Meeting was known by everyone before the meeting and most of people appreciated this agenda before the meeting. After the meeting, 9,5 % of attendees who have completed the questionnaire judged the planned content average.

IN2IT 3RD CONSORTIUM MEETING IN ISRAEL EVALUATION– SEPTEMBER 2017




The time for interactions was considered excellent for the majority of participants of the meeting.



The 3rd Consortium Meeting was considered very productive for the majority of participants who completed the surveys.


IN2IT 3RD CONSORTIUM MEETING IN ISRAEL EVALUATION– SEPTEMBER 2017



The majority of participants considered very significant the 3rd Consortium Meeting in the project.


Comment after the meeting:

“As Part of the EIP course, to be given in the 2nd semester, the meeting with the team was very important”.




IN2IT 3RD CONSORTIUM MEETING IN ISRAEL EVALUATION– SEPTEMBER 2017

6A) Interest: Please rate your degree of interest in each session proposed.
WP1: International Capability Maturity Framework, Status Review




6A) Interest: Please rate your degree of interest in each session proposed.
Presentations of 2nd Assessment Results by the Colleges




IN2IT 3RD CONSORTIUM MEETING IN ISRAEL EVALUATION– SEPTEMBER 2017

6A) Interest: Please rate your degree of interest in each session proposed.
WP4: Development and delivery of international CoPs, Status Review




6A) Interest: Please rate your degree of interest in each session proposed.
WP6: Development and delivery of an innovative tech. platform, Status Review




IN2IT 3RD CONSORTIUM MEETING IN ISRAEL EVALUATION– SEPTEMBER 2017


6A) Interest: Please rate your degree of interest in each session proposed.
WP3: Development and delivery of international online curriculum, Status Review




6A) Interest: Please rate your degree of interest in each session proposed
WP3: Development and delivery of international online curriculum, Status Review, Essential Skills Team



6A) Interest: Please rate your degree of interest in each session proposed
WP3: Development and delivery of international online curriculum,
Status Review, Global Entrepreneurship Team




6A) Interest: Please rate your degree of interest in each session proposed
WP3: Development and delivery of international online curriculum,
Status Review, EIP Team




IN2IT 3RD CONSORTIUM MEETING IN ISRAEL EVALUATION– SEPTEMBER 2017

6A) Interest: Please rate your degree of interest in each session proposed
WP3: Development and delivery of international online curriculum,
Status Review, Embracing Diversity Team




6A) Interest: Please rate your degree of interest in each session proposed.
WP7: Quality Assurance, status Review




IN2IT 3RD CONSORTIUM MEETING IN ISRAEL EVALUATION– SEPTEMBER 2017

6A) Interest: Please rate your degree of interest in each session proposed.
WP8: Dissemination, Status review



6A) Interest: Please rate your degree of interest in each session proposed.
Partner Institutions Progress Review




IN2IT 3RD CONSORTIUM MEETING IN ISRAEL EVALUATION– SEPTEMBER 2017

6A) Interest: Please rate your degree of interest in each session proposed.


WP9: Sustainability & Exploitation,



Open discussion on how to maintain long term accomplishments



6A) Interest: Please rate your degree of interest in each session proposed.

Online International Courses Development






Comment after the meeting:

“I don’t know what is the steering and the executive committees”.


“WP7 was not present, WP6 not possible to understand where innovation is and not open to comments; WP9, we don’t have technological solution for next year”.

“Was not so interested in the “below 3s”. While I appreciate the need and value of systematic and constant review, the first morning was for me a waste of time, could have sent an email to the same effect”.

7) Improvements for next meeting. A list of actions and target date is defined. Everyone knows what individual and group next steps are?



7) Preparation: Do 3rd Consortium Meeting purpose and goals are clearly defined and indicated?




The majority of participants who have completed the questionnaires think that the objectives of the workshop are achieved.


II. Others questions

In the after meeting survey, some specific questions were asked to better understand possible discrepancies observed before and after the meeting.

1B) Presentations: how satisfied are you with the overall quality of working sessions



IN2IT 3RD CONSORTIUM MEETING IN ISRAEL EVALUATION– SEPTEMBER 2017



Most of participants are satisfied by the quality of the working sessions.

8) Do you have any suggestions or comments?

AFTER workshop:

- Great hosting by Tel Aviv Yaffo Academic College

Suggestions for the next workshop:

First of all, it appears that it could be good to remind what is the steering and the executive committees.

Then, concerning WP9 activities, it is important to find a technological solution for the next year.

Finally, the presentations of the WP activities need to be open to comments and discussions.