

Report

Introduction


The IN2IT WP3 (Development and delivery of international online curriculum) Summary Meeting took place on 25-27 June 2018 in Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Milan, Italy.

At the meeting, there were 80 participants from IN2IT project (students included).

The University of Montpellier has collected 31 responses to the "Before meeting surveys" and 31 responses to the "After meeting surveys".

I. Before – After conference surveys comparison

Most of questions in the Before and After meeting surveys were similar in order to be able to evaluate the evolution due to the conference execution.



Comment after meeting:

"Not enough structured to be really productive. It is necessary to lead the discussion and to organize activities. Even the spaces were not enough for all the group. I know it was a huge group but something different would be better".

The majority of attendees who have completed the questionnaires before and after the meeting are satisfied regarding the meeting preparation and execution (more than 80%). It appears that after the meeting, the percentage of people dissatisfied is a little bigger than before the meeting.





















Comment before the meeting:

"I still feel uninformed regarding my role in the meeting".

The information given to the attendees immediately before and during the meeting was considered helpful by the majority of participants who have completed the questionnaires.



Comment after the meeting:

"A structure to talk in bigger groups could be helpful. Exp. Embracing diversity splitting up in groups and the question how we discuss what took plenty of time."

"Not well organized, it was not so clear what we were supposed to do. A whole day of presentations was boring and not interesting at this point of the project. More teamwork and



















activities would be appreciated".

The quality of the IN2IT WP3 Summary Meeting organization/realization was considered above average by the majority of participants to the meeting.





Comment after the meeting:

"Too much time for presentation few time for working groups".




















Comment after the meeting:

"For the students there were many sessions in between that were not relevant which made it sometimes quite difficult to keep a good level of attention.

Needed more time for course developers to work separately.

It can be organized better in order to be more productive as I said above"

The IN2IT WP3 Summary Meeting was considered productive for the majority of participants who completed the surveys.























Comment before the meeting:

"As I am a student I have no personal benefit from this meeting".

Comment after the meeting:

"To get in touch is always important, especially in international Exchange. I am a student and will not be able to participate again".

The majority of participants considered very significant the IN2IT WP3 Summary Meeting in the project.



















































































































































































Comment after the meeting:

"It's more institutional than for the students how and if the courses continue".

"I am a student and not know further to do or actions planned".
























The majority of participants who have completed the questionnaires think that the objectives of the conference are achieved.


















n2_{it.}

II. Others questions

In the after meeting survey, some specific questions were asked to better understand possible discrepancies observed before and after the meeting.

1B) Presentations: how satisfied are you with the overall quality of working sessions



Most of participants are satisfied by the quality of the working sessions.

















Suggestions or comments

Before the meeting:

"The course was fun! but I hope to take us to make a discussion not just to read issue and ask this question".

After the meeting:

"Structure for bigger working groups".

"I think the brainstorming sessions should be shorter and the breaks should be more frequent so that we could focus better".

"Do not force the students to attend sessions that are not relevant for them but make them optional instead".

"More time to work together".

Suggestions and recommendations for improvement

First of all, it seems important to check that all participants have understood their role in the meeting and next steps.

Then, it may be a good idea to leave some optional sessions for students when they are not relevant to them.

In addition, where possible, it would be appreciated to better organize the space for group activities.

Finally, more time for teamwork is requested.











