



Report

Introduction


The IN2IT WP5 (Development and exploitation of academy-industry/community cooperation) Summary Meeting took place on 10-12 July 2018 in Kingstone University, London, UK.

At the meeting, there were 70 participants from IN2IT project (students included).

The University of Montpellier has collected 29 responses to the "Before meeting surveys" and 22 responses to the "After meeting surveys".

I. Before – After conference surveys comparison

Most of questions in the Before and After meeting surveys were similar in order to be able to evaluate the evolution due to the meeting execution.



Comment before the meeting:

"The date (Wimbledon Championships) led to bad and expensive flights and expensive hotels".

The majority of attendees who have completed the questionnaires before and after the meeting are satisfied regarding the meeting preparation and execution (more than 70%). It appears that after the meeting, the percentage of people dissatisfied is a little bigger than before the meeting.























Comment before the meeting:

"A bit confusing...keep getting different messages - make a PP and then don't make one, etc."

The information given to the attendees immediately before and during the meeting was considered very helpful by the majority of participants who have completed the questionnaires.



The quality of the IN2IT WP5 Summary Meeting organization/realization was considered above average/excellent by the majority of participants to the meeting.





















in2_{it.}



























Comment after the meeting:

"No time to work after lectures. Too much time for student videos".





















































































































iη2_{it.}































































































Comment after the meeting:

"I would not ask staff to vote best videos realized by students. The focus would be on collaboration more than competition among students".


















































The majority of participants who have completed the questionnaires think that the objectives of the conference are achieved.






















II. Others questions

In the after meeting survey, some specific questions were asked to better understand possible discrepancies observed before and after the meeting.

1B) Presentations: how satisfied are you with the overall quality of working sessions




















in2_{it.}

IN2IT WP5 SUMMARY MEETING EVALUATION JULY 2018



Most of participants are satisfied by the quality of the working sessions.



















Suggestions or comments

After the meeting:

"Hosts should be more hospitable to guests - tea/coffee some tour of the town etc."

"The group division for the video presentation was not in the agenda"

Suggestions and recommendations for improvement

First of all, it seems important to choose dates for the meeting that avoid booking expensive flights and hotels.

Then, it may be a good idea to send only one time the information in order to prepare the participation to the meeting.

In addition, where possible, it would be appreciated to provide tea/coffee for participants or some visit to the city

Finally, not everybody appreciates that the best videos realized by students were voted by the staff in order to focus on collaboration and not competition among students.













