

Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $2\pi$ -periodic, i.e.  $f(x + 2\pi) = f(x)$  and even. Its complex Fourier series are given by

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{inx}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-inx} f(x) dx. \quad (2)$$

Consider the functional

$$\mathcal{J}_f(g) = \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^2} f(x - y) d\mu_x d\mu_y, \quad (3)$$

where  $d\mu_x = g(x)dx$ ,  $g > 0$  and

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(x)dx = 1. \quad (4)$$

We can now write (3) in the alternative form

$$\mathcal{J}_f(g) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x - y)g(x)g(y)dx dy, \quad (5)$$

and set

$$\mathcal{J}_m = \inf_g \mathcal{J}_f(g).$$

We now claim

**Lemma 0.1.** *Suppose that the Fourier coefficients of  $f(x)$ , defined by (2), are all real and non-negative. Let  $g_L \equiv 1/(2\pi)$  on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ . Then,*

$$\mathcal{J}_m = \mathcal{J}_f(g_L).$$

Furthermore, for any positive  $g$  satisfying (4) we have

$$\mathcal{J}_f(g) - \mathcal{J}_f(g_L) = 4\pi^2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} a_n |b_n|^2, \quad (6)$$

in which  $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  represents all the integers except for 0,  $\{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  are the (positive) Fourier coefficients of  $f$ , and  $\{b_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  are the Fourier coefficients of  $g$ .

*Proof.* We first note that  $b_n$  is given by (2) (with  $g$  in place of  $f$ ). Hence, by (4) and (2) we have that

$$b_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi}.$$

Let  $h = g - g_L$ . We first write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_f(g) - \mathcal{J}_f(g_L) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x-y)h(x) dx dy + \\ &\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x-y)h(y) dx dy + \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x-y)h(x)h(y) dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x-y) dx$$

is independent of  $y$ , and since

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h(x) dx = 0,$$

we obtain that

$$\mathcal{J}_f(g) - \mathcal{J}_f(g_L) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x-y)h(x)h(y) dx dy. \quad (7)$$

We next note that

$$f(x-y) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{in(x-y)},$$

and, since  $h$  is real,

$$h(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} b_n e^{inx} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \bar{b}_n e^{-inx}.$$

Substituting the above into (7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_f(g) - \mathcal{J}_f(g_L) &= \\ &\sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{n_3 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} a_{n_1} b_{n_2} \bar{b}_{n_3} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{in_1(x-y)} e^{-in_2x} e^{in_3y} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

From the orthogonality of Fourier series, i.e.

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{in_1x} e^{-in_2x} dx = 2\pi\delta_{n_1n_2},$$

we now get (6). ■

Consider then the case where

$$g = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \delta(x - x_k), \quad (8)$$

where  $x_k \in [-\pi, \pi)$ . We attempt to minimize  $\mathcal{J}_f$  over the set of “functions” (distributions) given by (8) for a given value of  $N$ , or equivalently, over all sequences  $\{x_k\}_{k=0}^{N-1} \subset [-\pi, \pi)$ . We can write  $\mathcal{J}_f(g)$  in view of (5) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_f(g) &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x-y) \frac{1}{N^2} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \delta(x-x_k) \right) \left( \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \delta(y-x_m) \right) dx dy = \\ &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{m,k=0}^{N-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x-y) \delta(x-x_k) \delta(y-x_m) dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

from which we conclude that

$$\mathcal{J}_f(g) = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{m,k=0}^{N-1} f(x_m - x_k) = \frac{(N-1)}{N} E + \frac{f(0)}{N}, \quad (9)$$

where

$$E = \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{\substack{m,k=0 \\ m \neq k}}^{N-1} f(x_m - x_k).$$

Consequently, the minimizer of  $\mathcal{J}_f$  over “functions” defined by (8) is also the minimizer of  $E$ .

An immediate conclusion of the above is

**Lemma 0.2.** *Suppose that the Fourier coefficients of  $f(x)$  are all non-negative, and that only a finite number of them are positive. Then, for sufficiently large  $N$  we have*

$$\inf_{\{x_k\}_{k=0}^{N-1} \subset [-\pi, \pi)} E = \frac{N}{(N-1)} \left[ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) dx - \frac{f(0)}{N} \right]. \quad (10)$$

*Proof.* Set

$$x_k = -\pi + 2\pi \frac{k}{N} \quad 0 \leq k \leq N-1.$$

It can be easily verified that

$$\bar{b}_q = \frac{(-1)^q}{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \exp \left\{ i2\pi q \frac{k}{N} \right\}.$$

We then compute the above geometric series

$$\bar{b}_q = \frac{1}{2\pi} \begin{cases} (-1)^N N & \frac{q}{N} \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, by (6)

$$\mathcal{J}_f(g) - \mathcal{J}_f(g_L) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} a_{jN}. \quad (11)$$

Suppose now that there exists some  $M_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $|n| > M_0$  we have  $a_n = 0$ . It follows by (11) that  $\mathcal{J}_f(g) = \mathcal{J}_f(g_L)$ . The lemma easily follows by (9). ■

We now state and prove some well known result from Fourier analysis

**Lemma 0.3.** *Let  $f$  be  $2\pi$ -periodic with  $k$  continuous derivatives ( $f \in C^k(\mathbb{R})$ ). Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  denote its Fourier coefficients, given by (2). Then, there exists  $C_k > 0$ , independent of  $n$ , such that*

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{C_k}{n^k}. \quad (12)$$

*Proof.* Integration by parts yields, by (2), that

$$2\pi a_n = \frac{f(x)}{in} e^{-inx} \Big|_0^{2\pi} + \frac{1}{in} \int_0^{2\pi} f'(x) e^{-inx} dx.$$

As  $f(0) = f(2\pi)$  we obtain that

$$2\pi a_n = \frac{1}{in} \int_0^{2\pi} f'(x) e^{-inx} dx.$$

We can now continue to integrate by parts  $k - 1$  more times to obtain that

$$2\pi a_n = \frac{1}{(in)^k} \int_0^{2\pi} f^{(k)}(x) e^{-inx} dx. \quad (13)$$

Since  $f \in C^k(\mathbb{R})$  we have that

$$\sup_{x \in [0, 2\pi]} |f^{(k)}(x)| = C_k < \infty.$$

Consequently, by the triangle (Minkowski) inequality we obtain that

$$\left| \int_0^{2\pi} f^{(k)}(x) e^{-inx} dx \right| \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f^{(k)}(x)| |e^{-inx}| dx \leq 2\pi C_k.$$

Substituting into (13) yields (12). ■

We say that  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  if  $f \in C^k(\mathbb{R})$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .

**Corollary 0.4.** *Let  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  and let  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  denote its Fourier coefficients. Then, for every  $k \geq 1$  there exists  $C_k > 0$  such that*

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{C_k}{n^k}.$$

The converse statement is also true.

**Lemma 0.5.** *Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^\infty \subset \mathbb{C}$ . Suppose that for some  $k \geq 1$  we have for some  $C > 0$ , that*

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{C}{n^k}.$$

Let

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{inx}. \quad (14)$$

Then  $f \in C^{k-1}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Without proof.

We say that  $f$  is analytic at  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  if the series

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(z_0)}{n!} (z - z_0)^n \quad (15)$$

converges in some neighborhood of  $z_0$ . The Fourier coefficient of an analytic function have the following property

**Lemma 0.6.** *Let  $f$  be analytic in the strip  $|\Im z| < \alpha$  ( $\Im z$  is the imaginary part of  $z$ ) for some  $\alpha > 0$ . Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  denote its Fourier coefficients. Then, for every  $\beta < \alpha$  there exists  $C_\beta > 0$  such that*

$$|a_n| \leq C_\beta e^{-\beta|n|}. \quad (16)$$

*Conversely, if (16) holds for some  $\beta > 0$  and  $f$  is defined by (14), then  $f$  is analytic in the strip  $|\Im z| < \beta$*

Without proof once again. An immediate corollary follows.

**Corollary 0.7.** *Let  $f \in C^k(\mathbb{R})$  for some  $k \geq 2$ . Then for some  $C > 0$ ,*

$$0 \leq \mathcal{J}_f(g) - \mathcal{J}_f(g_L) \leq \frac{C}{N^k}. \quad (17)$$

*Furthermore, if  $f$  is analytic in the strip  $|\Im z| < \alpha$  then*

$$0 \leq \mathcal{J}_f(g) - \mathcal{J}_f(g_L) \leq C_\beta e^{-\beta N}, \quad (18)$$

*for all  $\beta < \alpha$ .*

*Proof.* Follows immediately from (11) and either (12) or (16). ■

**Conjecture 0.8.** *If  $f$  has positive Fourier coefficients, and is sufficiently smooth, then for sufficiently large  $N$  the minimum of  $\mathcal{J}_f(g)$  over (8) is obtained for*

$$x_k = \frac{k}{N} \quad 0 \leq k \leq N - 1.$$

*The minimum is unique up to translation.*

The goal is to check the conjecture numerically, and see what happens if some of the coefficients are negative.